

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,527	07/29/2003	Harry Moulis	HM-101	6056
29847	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	ROWNLEE WOLTER	STIGELL, THEODORE J		
390 N. ORA SUITE 2500	NGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO,	ORLANDO, FL 32801			
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/629,527	MOULIS, HARRY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Theodore J. Stigell	3763	

	Theodore J. Stigell	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	oliones with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i E below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).	novable il sublinice il a separate,	amery med american	in canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-6,8 and 10-12.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14-16</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
·						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 14 have changed the scope of the claim and would require further consideration and a new search by the Examiner. The amendments have added structural elements that were not considered or searched previously.

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3,750